

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONIER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virgina 22313-1450 www.bugo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FH.ING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/041,059	01/07/2002	Dietrich Schmidt	21209.PUS	1962
75	90 10/16/2003		EXAM	INER
Eugene E. Renz, Jr., P.C.			LIN, TINA M	
205 North Mon	roe Street			
Post Office Box 2056			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Media PA 19063-9056		2874		

DATE MAILED: 10/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Summary	10/041,059	SCHMIDT ET AL.					
Onice Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
The MAN INC DATE of this annual in the	Tina M Lin	2874					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply sepecified above is less than thirty (50) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply sepecified above, his maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Any reply received by the Office later than there months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 August 2003.							
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	s action is non-final.						
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) 1-3 and 5-17 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 5-17</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>07 January 2002</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).							
a)⊠ All b) Some * c) None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. 							
Attachment(s)							
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	ew Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 2874

This Office action is responsive to applicant communication filed on 20 August 2003.

The Examiner acknowledges the amendments to the claim filed.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 1-3 and 5-17 are provisionally rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-12 of copending Application No. 10/041,008. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other. In the co-pending application, the independent claim, claim 1, discloses a quadrangular mixing rod with an inlet and outlet area, to guide light through the inlet area to the outlet area to illuminate a quadrangular field where the angles of the quadrangular inlet and outlet areas have one or two angles to be 90° and the others to be at an angle not to be 90°. In the current application, claim 1 discloses all that the co-pending application does except the current application discloses an angle of the quadrangular inlet or outlet area to not have an angle of 90°. Since both applications disclose an angle in the quadrangular mixing rod not to be 90°, the current application does not specify an angle

Application/Control Number: 10/041,059

Art Unit: 2874

measurement, and the current application does not state an additional angle can not be 90° , it would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art that a light mixing rod with a quadrangular illuminating field claimed in the current application states the same basic angle restrictions as the light mixing rod in the co-pending application.

This is a <u>provisional</u> obviousness-type double patenting rejection because the conflicting claims have not in fact been patented.

In regards to the 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections, Applicant's arguments together with the amendment filed on 20 August 2003, have been fully considered and are persuasive. The previous 35 U.S.C. 102(b) and 35 U.S.C. 103(a) rejections has been withdrawn

In regards to the doubling patenting rejection, Applicant's arguments, filed 20 August 2003, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The Terminal Disclaimer filed by the applicant has been disapproved because the attorney who as filed the Terminal Disclaimer is not of record.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37

Art Unit: 2874

CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tina M Lin whose telephone number is (703) 305-1959. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Rodney Bovernick can be reached on (703) 308-4819. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

TML

John D. Ju John Ditzs Primary Execution